

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
STATE OF ARIZONA
DIVISION TWO

FILED BY CLERK

JAN 11 2007

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,

Appellee,

v.

MICHAEL G. ERVIN,

Appellant.

2 CA-CR 2006-0172

DEPARTMENT A

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Not for Publication

Rule 111, Rules of
the Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PINAL COUNTY

Cause Nos. CR200500612 and CR200502222 (Consolidated)

Honorable David M. Roer, Judge

AFFIRMED

Harriette P. Levitt

Tucson
Attorney for Appellant

P E L A N D E R, Chief Judge.

¶1 After a jury trial, appellant Michael Gene Ervin was convicted of three counts of aggravated harassment, all class five felonies. The trial court imposed concurrent, enhanced sentences of 3.5 years on all counts.

¶2 Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396 (1967); *State v. Leon*, 104 Ariz. 297, 451 P.2d 878 (1969); and *State*

v. Clark, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999), stating that she has thoroughly reviewed the record on appeal and has found no arguable issues to raise. She asks this court to search the entire record for error. This court granted Ervin’s request for additional time in which to file a supplemental brief, but he did not file one.

¶3 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have reviewed the entire record. We are satisfied that reasonable evidence established all requisite elements of the offenses of which Ervin was convicted. Our review of the pretrial and sentencing proceedings, likewise, has shown the presence of no error that can be characterized as fundamental and prejudicial.

¶4 Ervin’s convictions and sentences are affirmed.

JOHN PELANDER, Chief Judge

CONCURRING:

JOSEPH W. HOWARD, Presiding Judge

GARYE L. VÁSQUEZ, Judge